# **Appendix 9.2**

**Summary of Utility Reported System Benefit Data** 

**Utility Reported Investments in Conservation** 

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Non	ninal Dollars pe	er Calendar Ye	ear			Budgeted
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Donton DLD - Evronditures	004.000	500 774	4 05 4 747	4 000 744	0.004.007	0.570.005	0.000.005	4 040 040	4 740 470
Benton PUD Expenditures	284,226	563,774	1,354,717	1,869,744	2,981,307	3,576,885	2,806,265	1,318,218	1,743,179
Reimbursements from BPA	284,226	563,774	1,354,717	1,869,744	2,981,307	3,576,885	2,806,265	1,318,218	1,743,179
Net Investment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Chelan PUD Expenditures	407.070	F20 740	670 640	047.050	4 444 070	CO4 F20	740,000	500 44 <del>7</del>	FCC 4.47
·	407,673	538,748	673,640	947,350	1,441,078	624,539	742,986	590,147	566,147
Reimbursements from BPA	91,988	106,127	272,091	589,454	217,930		458,000	<b></b>	****
Net Investment	\$315,685	\$432,621	\$401,549	\$357,896	\$1,223,148	\$624,539	\$284,986	\$590,147	\$566,147
Clark PUD Expenditures	1,303,000	1,377,000	2,175,000	6,152,000	4,324,000	9,397,000	8,258,000	3,895,000	
Reimbursements from BPA	1,229,000	1,377,000	1,984,000	6,601,000	4,324,000	3,730,000	1,750,000	750,000	
Net Investment	\$74,000	\$0	\$191,000	-\$449,000	\$0	\$5,667,000	\$6,508,000	\$3,145,000	\$0
Net investment	<b>Φ74,000</b>	ΨU	\$191,000	-\$449,000	ΦU	\$5,00 <i>1</i> ,000	<b>\$6,508,000</b>	<b>\$3,143,000</b>	ΦU
Cowlitz Co. PUD Expenditures	481,364	646,600	776,435	1,783,856	1,467,052	1,446,576	1,240,638	1,441,235	1,730,000
Reimbursements from BPA	419,589	533,960	586,704	1,505,427	1,196,305	1,065,250	1,032,430	1,174,459	1,472,801
Net Investment	\$61,775	\$112,640	\$189,731	\$278,429	\$270,747	\$381,326	\$208,208	\$266,776	
	<b>4</b> 01,110	<b>4</b> · · · <b>– ,</b> · · · ·	<b>4</b> 200 <b>,</b> 200	<b>,</b>	<b>*</b> == <b>2,</b> = ==	<b>4</b> 001,020	<b>¥</b> =00,=00	<b>4</b> _00,000	<b>4</b> _01,100
Franklin Co. PUD Expenditures	249,265	368,034	825,849	1,046,523	963,902	776,119	744,225	780,056	96,060
Reimbursements from BPA	195,067	327,574	614,265	958,050	635,150	593,117	649,980	853,848	0
Net Investment	\$54,198	\$40,460	\$211,584	\$88,473	\$328,752	\$183,002	\$94,245	-\$73,792	\$96,060
Grant Co. PUD Expenditures	161,192	598,571	855,284	574,759	918,583	782,547	1,015,611	985,797	985,797
Reimbursements from BPA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Investment	\$161,192	\$598,571	\$855,284	\$574,759	\$918,583	\$782,547	\$1,015,611	\$985,797	\$985,797
Grays Harbor PUD Expenditures	646,243	840,666	1,694,851	2,566,657	2,552,894	1,690,491	2,468,749	1,693,954	1,671,075
Reimbursements from BPA	573,337	917,448	1,763,128	2,736,306	2,809,995	1,675,303	2,659,137	1,549,946	1,671,075
Net Investment	\$72,906	-\$76,782	-\$68,277	-\$169,649	-\$257,101	\$15,188	-\$190,388	\$144,008	\$0
0 5 01:1: 5 1:					<b>-</b> 46				
Orcas Pwr & Light Expenditures	Unknown	0	188,190	493,719	312,851	297,388	101,335	398,771	398,771
Reimbursements from BPA	0		188,190	493,719	312,851	297,388	101,335	285,845	285,845
Net Investment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$112,926	\$112,926

**Utility Reported Investments in Conservation** 

	ounty kept	nteu ilivesi		onservatio				1	District 1
	1990	1991	1992	minal Dollars p		ear 1995	1996	1997	Budgeted
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
PacifiCorp Expenditures	NA	NA	NA	5,962,148	4,828,406	3,198,102	1,479,721	450,677	243,694
Reimbursements from BPA	0	0	0	0	0				
Net Investment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,962,148	\$4,828,406	\$3,198,102	\$1,479,721	\$450,677	\$243,694
Parkland Expenditures	97,569	109,531	228,129	633,820	342,224	191,775	95,944	77,355	202,000
Reimbursements from BPA	97,153	119,145	278,512	613,006	325,726	136,794	123,573	84,268	193,600
Net Investment	\$416	-\$9,614	-\$50,383	\$20,814	\$16,498	\$54,981	-\$27,629	-\$6,913	\$8,400
	•	<b>,</b> -, -	, ,	+ -/-	, ,, , ,	<b>,</b> - ,	, , , -	, .	* - ,
Puget Energy Expenditures	24,623,000	41,961,000	62,630,000	63,764,000	36,662,000	16,965,000	7,387,000	3,949,000	6,655,000
Reimbursements from BPA	-	1,025,000	5,194,000	1,452,000	899,000	1,325,000	0	0	0
Net Investment	\$24,623,000	\$40,936,000	\$57,436,000	\$62,312,000	\$35,763,000	\$15,640,000	\$7,387,000	\$3,949,000	\$6,655,000
	, , , , , , , , , ,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, - ,,	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>, , ,</b>	<b>,</b> -,,	* , ,	, .,,,	* -,,
Seattle City Light Expenditures	10,206,370	9,478,728	13,991,738	21,285,342	22,939,683	24,828,935	19,758,511	14,838,239	17,740,358
Reimbursements from BPA	2,190,598	3,208,450	5,310,531	11,653,542	12,060,591	13,032,673	9,904,627	5,310,336	5,700,000
Net Investment	\$8,015,772	\$6,270,278	\$8,681,207	\$9,631,800	\$10,879,092		\$9,853,884	\$9,527,903	\$12,040,358
	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>~</b> -,,	<b>,</b> , , ,	<b>4</b> 2,001,000	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>+</b> ,,	<b>,,,,,,,,</b>	¥0,0=1,000	<b>,</b> -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,
SnoPUD Expenditures	5,608,987	5,939,725	8,757,886	9,479,815	8,736,280	8,944,648	7,181,725	2,340,886	1,500,000
Reimbursements from BPA	3,726,480	3,750,354	6,786,401	8,432,198	6,789,905	5,028,653	4,200,007	2,562,752	3,256,980
Net Investment	\$1,882,507	\$2,189,371	\$1,971,485	\$1,047,617	\$1,946,375	\$3,915,995	\$2,981,718	-\$221,866	-\$1,756,980
	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	. , ,	. ,	. , ,
Tacoma Power Expenditures	6,751,079	5,894,042	8,935,118	10,619,970	18,459,062	15,540,817	18,071,432	6,426,593	2,826,190
Reimbursements from BPA	4,166,018	3,501,946	5,442,712	8,705,611	9,333,695	6,941,039	10,948,840	3,425,728	1,825,000
Net Investment	\$2,585,061	\$2,392,096	\$3,492,406	\$1,914,359	\$9,125,367	\$8,599,778	\$7,122,592	\$3,000,865	\$1,001,190
Journal of the second of	72,000,001	<b>+=</b> , <b>00=</b> , <b>000</b>	<del>+0, .02, 700</del>	Ţ.,J,JOO	<del>+0,.20,00</del> 1	<del>+0,000,.10</del>	Ţ.,. <u></u> ,.	+5,555,566	Ţ1,001,10 <b>0</b>
Washington Water Pwr Expenditu	538,187	2,540,238	7,366,689	19,235,762	13,237,047	3,000,849	2,252,607	3,058,669	2,367,602
Reimbursements from BPA	0	2,340,230	0,300,003	13,233,702	13,237,047	0,000,043	2,232,007	0,000,000	2,307,002
	-	\$2,540,238	-	\$19,235,762	-	\$3,000,849	\$2,252,607		
Net Investment	\$538,187	<b>\$2,540,238</b>	\$1,300,009	φ19,233,762	φ13,237,047	<b></b> გა,სსს,649	<b>ΦΖ,232,007</b>	\$3,058,669	\$2,367,602

## Utility Reported Data for Top Energy Efficiency Programs for 1990-2000

				Program	Estimated Program
	Program Name	Type of Program	Dates Program Offered	Expenditures	Savings (kWh)
Be	enton PUD Top 6 Past Programs by	Funding Level			
1	Residential Weatherization	Weatherization	1990 to present	\$4,533,695	8,033,301
2	Long Term Super Good Cents	New Home	1992 to present	\$2,396,387	2,238,022
3	Waterwise	Irrigation	1992 to present	\$2,342,908	34,849,897
4	Energy Smart	Commercial	1990 to present	\$1,938,323	12,303,677
5	Heat Pump Program	Res. Heat Pumps	1990 to present	\$1,234,556	5,125,706
6	Industrial	Industrial	1992 to present	\$688,287	32,133,371
	Three Most Effective Pro	ograms			
1	Industrial	Industrial	1992 to present	\$688,287	32,133,371
2	Waterwise	Irrigation	1992 to present	\$2,342,908	34,849,897
3	Energy Smart	Commercial	1990 to present	\$1,938,323	12,303,677
	Top Two Future Program	ns by Funding Level		•	
1	Residential Weatherization	Weatherization	1998 - 2000	\$285,000	160,843
2	Long Term Super Good	New Home	1988 - 2000	\$135,000	144,552

Additional programs: rebates for heat pumps and water heaters; energy smart for small commercial; SGC L-I wx

#### **Chelan PUD**

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

1	Residential Weatherization	Retrofit weatherization	1990-Present	\$130,000	750,000
	Loan Program				
2	Residential New	New construction	1990-1994 w/ incentives;	\$696,845	
	Construction	efficiency enhancements	1995 - 1997 no incentives		
3	Commercial New	Incentives for new	1993-1996	\$427,890	3,812,882
	Construction - pre NREC	construction efficiencies			
4	Industrial Efficiency Program	Incentives for new	1992-1996 w/ incentives;	\$437,410	10,547,501
		construction efficiencies	1997 - Present, no incentives		
5	Non Residential Code	new construction	1995 - Present	\$30,000	
Ŭ	Compliance	efficiencies	1000 11000111	φου,σου	
6	Pressure Diagnostics of	Technical Assistance	1996 - Present	\$74,000	
	Buildings & HVAC Systems				
	Three Most Effective Pro			l	
1	Industrial Efficiency Program		1992-1996 w/ incentives;	\$437,410	10,547,501
		construction efficiencies	1997 - Present, no incentives		
2	Commercial New	Incentives for new	1993-1996	\$427,890	3,812,882
	Construction - pre NREC	construction efficiencies		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,- ,
3	Residential Weatherization	Retrofit weatherization	1990-Present	\$130,000	750,000
	Loan Program				
	Top Two Future Program	s by Funding Level			

1998-2000

1998-2000

\$130,000

\$200,000

750,000

1,900,000

#### **Clark PUD**

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

ı	1	Industrial - Energy Efficient	
ı			Facility Improvement
ı	2	Commercial - Investment	Efficiency

Weatherization

Technical Assistance

Residential Weatherization

2 Exam Present/Industrial

Utility Reported Data for Top Energy Efficiency Programs for 1990-2000

	Program Name	Type of Program	Dates Program Offered	Program Expenditures	Estimated Program Savings (kWh)
3	Residential Weatherization				
		House Tighten			
4	Heat Pump	Heat Pump Instal			
5	New Construction Grant	Measure Upgrade			
6	Water Heat Rebate	Water Heat Rebate	7		

#### **Three Most Effective Programs**

no detail provided

### **Top Two Future Programs by Funding Level**

- 1 Residential Loans
- 2 Commercial Loans

#### Cowlitz Co. PUD

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

	Top o Fast Frograms by	i ununig Level			
1	Residential Weatherization Retrofit Program	Residential Retrofit	1990 - Present	\$3,036,453	5,002,470
2	Energy Smart Design	Commercial Retrofit	1992 - Present	\$2,883,716	15,364,198
3	Washington State Energy Code Payments & Utility Heat Pump Payments	Energy Code	1992 - 1996	\$1,020,751	1,989,177
4	Energy Efficient Showerhead/ Faucet Aerator Installation Program	Resid/Commercial	1993 - 1994	\$527,000	5,007,560
5	Energy Efficient Water Heater Rebate Program (\$60 & \$40 Rebates)	Residential	1993 - Present	\$495,000	1,253,200
6	Energy Savings Plan (ESP)	Industrial	1992 - Present	\$630,382	9,359,378

**Three Most Effective Programs** 

		J -
1	Energy Savings Plan (ESP)	
2	Energy Smart Design (ESD)	
3	Energy Efficient Showerhead/ Faucet Aerator Installation Program	

\*\* This is the sum of the annual savings for the first year only, Annual kWh savings. A measure life would need to be established for each program and the annual kWh savings calculated for each year of the measure life that the program was in effect

Top Two Future Programs by Funding Level

		,			
1	Energy Smart Design (ESD)	Comm'l Retrofit	1998 - 2000	\$1,660,000	?
2	Energersialvings Plan	Industrial Retrofit	1998 -1999	\$1 892 000	?

#### Franklin Co. PUD

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

1	Non-low Income Residendital Weatherization	Rebate	1990-present	\$1,929,284	184,808,000
2	Low-income Residential Weatherization	Rebate	1990-present	\$1,068,375	55,016,000
3	Energy Smart Design (commercial)	Rebate	1993-1998	\$858,674	46,669,056

	Program Name	Type of Program	Dates Program Offered	Program Expenditures	Estimated Program Savings (kWh)
	1 Togram Hamo	Type of Fregram	Bates i regiam cherea	Ехропакагоо	Cavingo (RVVII)
4	Low Flow Shower Heads and Water Heaters (appliances)	Rebate		\$361,155	34,720,000
5	Energy Savings Plan (Industrial)	Rebate	1992-1997	\$241,640	12,430,596
6	Irrigation Program	Rebate	1990-1996	\$201,181	31,018,640
	Three Most Effective Pro	grams			
1	Irrigation Program	Rebate	1990-1996	\$169,060	31,018,640
2	Low Flow Shower Heads and Water Heaters (appliances)	Rebate		\$303,491	34,720,000
3	Non-low Income Residendital Weatherization	Rebate	1990-present	\$1,929,284	184,808,000
	Top Two Future Program				
1	Residential Efficiency Improvements no further deta	low interest loan	1998-2000	\$1,060,000	19,968,000
Gr	ant Co. PUD				
	Top 6 Past Programs by	Funding Level			
1	Industrial	Grant	1990-Present	\$1,013,518	172,203,06
2	Irrigation Hardware	Rebate	1988-Present	\$862,712	86,504,06
3	Commercial	Rebate	1991-Present	\$524,368	99,209,84
<u>4</u>	SGC MSG SGC Site Built	Rebate Rebate	1991-Present 1987-Present	\$44,000 \$1,032,870	81,000,00 216,102,80
6	Residential Weatherization	Loan	1988-Present	\$1,932,879 \$107,317	132,169,65
	Three Most Effective Pro	grams			
1	Irrigation Management	Contract	1991-Present	\$1,147,123	142,729,83
2	Irrigation Hardware	Rebate	1988-Present	\$862,712	86,504,060
3	Industrial	Grant	1990-Present	\$1,013,518	172,203,060
	Top Two Future Program				
1	Irrigation Hardware	Rebate/Loan	1998-2000	\$300,000	3,000,000
		_			
2	Irrigation Management	Contract	1998-2000	\$150,000	6,000,000
2			1998-2000	\$150,000	6,000,000
2	rays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan	Funding Level	1998-2000 92-'98	\$3,198,245	* 22,114,24
2 <b>Gr</b>	rays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise	Funding Level Industrial Residential	92-'98 82-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014	* 22,114,24 4,455,75
2 Gr 1 2 3	rays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead	Funding Level	92-'98	\$3,198,245	* 22,114,24 4,455,75 10,822,57
2 Gr 1 2 3 4	rays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program**	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial Residential	92-'98 82-98 92-98 92-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014 \$2,416,685	* 22,114,24 4,455,75 10,822,57 5,918,800
2 Gr 1 2 3 4	rays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial	92-'98 82-98 92-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014	* 22,114,24; 4,455,75; 10,822,57; 5,918,800
2 Gr 1 2 3 4	ays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program** Super Good Cents Hot Water Tank Rebate Program Heat Pumps	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial Residential Residential Comm/Res Res.	92-'98 82-98 92-98 92-98 86-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014 \$2,416,685	* 22,114,24! 4,455,75! 10,822,57! 5,918,800 233,970 520,340
2 Gr 1 2 3 4	ays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program** Super Good Cents Hot Water Tank Rebate Program Heat Pumps Three Most Effective Pro	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial Residential Residential Comm/Res Res. grams*	92-'98 82-98 92-98 92-98 86-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014 \$2,416,685	* 22,114,24! 4,455,75! 10,822,57! 5,918,800 233,970 520,340
2 1 2 3 4 5 6	ays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program** Super Good Cents Hot Water Tank Rebate Program Heat Pumps Three Most Effective Pro Energy Savings Plan	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial Residential Residential Comm/Res Res. grams* Industrial	92-'98 82-98 92-98 92-98 86-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014 \$2,416,685	*
2 1 2 3 4 5 6	ays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program** Super Good Cents Hot Water Tank Rebate Program Heat Pumps Three Most Effective Pro Energy Savings Plan Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial Residential Residential Comm/Res Res. grams*	92-'98 82-98 92-98 92-98 86-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014 \$2,416,685	* 22,114,24: 4,455,75: 10,822,57: 5,918,80: 233,97: 520,34:
2 1 2 3 4 5 6	rays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program** Super Good Cents Hot Water Tank Rebate Program Heat Pumps Three Most Effective Pro Energy Savings Plan Energy Smart Design	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial Residential Comm/Res  Res. grams* Industrial Commercial Comm/Res  igures for these programs	92-'98 82-98 92-98 92-98 86-98 86-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014 \$2,416,685	* 22,114,24! 4,455,75! 10,822,57! 5,918,800 233,970 520,340
2 1 2 3 4 5 6	rays Harbor PUD Top 6 Past Programs by Energy Savings Plan Weatherwise Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program** Super Good Cents Hot Water Tank Rebate Program Heat Pumps Three Most Effective Pro Energy Savings Plan Energy Smart Design Efficient Showerhead Program**  * BPA has program savings for the savings	Funding Level Industrial Residential Commercial Residential Comm/Res  Res.  grams* Industrial Commercial Comm/Res  igures for these programs combined	92-'98 82-98 92-98 92-98 86-98 86-98	\$3,198,245 \$5,187,014 \$2,416,685	* 22,114,24! 4,455,75! 10,822,57! 5,918,800 233,970 520,340

Utility Reported Data for Top Energy Efficiency Programs for 1990-2000

Program Name	Type of Program	Dates Program Offered	Program Expenditures	Estimated Program Savings (kWh)
2 Efficiency Plus for Residential	Loan/lease	1998-on	\$2.5 mm est.	Unknown

#### **Orcas Power & Light**

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

		<u> </u>		
1	Residential Weatherization	1980-1996	\$1,512,750	1,782,320
2	Energy Smart Design (Commerical)	1980-1995	\$153,311	351,931
3	Super Good Cents	1980-1991	\$129,172	77,700
4	Long Term Super Good Cents	1992-1994	\$89,000	72,720
5	Water Heater Wrap	1980-1991	\$56,448	352,800
6	Water Heater Rebates	1992-1998	\$39,210	93,350

### **Three Most Effective Programs**

no detail provided

#### **Top Two Future Programs by Funding Level**

no detail provided

### **PacifiCorp**

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

		0			
1	Home Comfort	Res	1993-98	\$6,590,000	600,000,000
2	Super Good Cents	Res	1993-97	\$2,970,000	606,000,000
3	MAP	Res	1993-97	\$2,450,000	610,000,000
4	Showerheads	Res	1993	\$1,703,945	61,818,024
5	Industrial Finanswer	Indus	1993-98	\$1,340,000	260,000,000
6	Commercial Finanswer	Commercial	1993-98	\$1,050,000	35,000,000

**Three Most Effective Programs** 

1	Industrial FinAnswer	same as above
2	MAP	same as above
3	Super Good Cents	same as above

**Top Two Future Programs by Funding Level** 

1	Industrial Finanswer	Indus	1998-2000	Not budgeted (*)	Not easily available
2	Commercial Finanswer	Commercial	1998-2000	Not budgeted (*)	Not easily available

#### **Parkland**

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

	110 11 11011 11 31 11111 11 11 1				
1	Commercial	LIGHTING	92-PRESENT	\$900,000	2,590,000
2	Residential	WEATHER.	90-PRESENT	\$850,000	2,581,100
3	no further deta	ails provided			FIRST YEAR SAVINGS

### **Three Most Effective Programs**

1	Commercial Lighting
2	Residential Weatherization
3	

## Top Two Future Programs by Funding Level

_	residential
2	Residential
1	Commercial Lighting

## **Puget Energy**

Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

1	Residential Retrofit - Space Heat	1979 - present	\$ 62,437,000	101,516,000
2	Residential New Construction (incl. ComfortPlus & code)	1979 - 1996	\$ 26,869,000	92,150,000
3	Residential Water Heating Efficiency	1982 - present	\$ 25,616,000	220,404,000

<b>Utility Reported Data</b>	for Top Energy	<b>Efficiency Progra</b>	ms for 1990-2000

	Program Name	Type of Program	Dates Program Offered	Program Expenditures	Estimated Program Savings (kWh)
	D : 1 : 2 11: 12	į.	1004	<b>A</b> 4040.000	10.005.000
4	Residential Lighting and App	liances	1991 - present	\$ 4,918,000	19,885,000
5	Lighting)		1980 - present	\$ 98,951,000	457,099,000
6	Commercial/Industrial New C	Construction (incl. NREC)	1988 - 1997	\$ 29,283,000	180,165,000
	Three Most Effective Pro		I		T
1	Residential Water Heating E		1982 - present	\$ 62,437,000	101,516,000
2	Commercial/Industrial Energy Lighting)	,	1980 - present	\$ 98,951,000	457,099,000
3	Commercial/Industrial New C	Construction (incl. NREC)	1988 - 1997	\$ 29,283,000	180,165,000
	Top Two Future Program	ns by Funding Level			
1	Residential Information		1996 -		5,920,000
2	CI Energy Management		1996 -		25,344,000
Se	attle City Light Top 6 Past Programs by	Funding Level			
1	Energy Smart Design Program (active 1998)	comcl/indstl	1989 - 1997	\$49,832,886	3,141,885,000
2	Multifamily Conservation Programs (active 1998)	residential	1986 - 1997	\$38,515,622	1,228,338,000
3	Home Energy Loan / Warm Home Programs (closed)	residential	1981 - 1997	\$15,680,898	307,500,000
4	Low-income Electric Program (active 1998)	residential	1981 - 1997	\$8,228,703	148,710,000
5	Energy \$avings Plan Program (active 1998)	industrial	1988 - 1997	\$7,520,717	710,400,000
6	Lighting Design Lab (active 1998)	informational	1988 - 1997	\$4,111,429	C
	Three Most Effective Pro	<u>,                                      </u>			
1	Home Water Savers Program (\$ 1.98 / MWh)	residential	1992 - 1995	\$1,367,029	691,395,000
2	Energy \$avings Plan Program (\$10.50 / MWh)	industrial	1988 - present	\$49,832,886	3,141,885,000
3	Energy Smart Design Program (\$15.86 / MWh)	commercial	1989 - present	\$7,520,717	710,400,000
*	NOTES: Savings from 1990-1997 par <b>Top Two Future Program</b>				
1	Energy Smart Design	comcl/indstl	1998 - 2000+	\$22,713,800	1,261,440,000
	Mining Conservation Programs	residential	1998 - 2000+	\$10,418,006	478,953,000
Sn	oPUD Top 6 Past Programs by	Funding Level			
1	Residential Loans	Loan	1980-Present	\$0	85,384,621
2	Commercial Conservation	Incentive	1986-1997	\$16,606,126	88,736,716
3	Industrial Conservation	Incentive	1992-1997	\$10,267,958	131,156,416
4	SESCO	Weatherization	1996-1997	\$2,336,360	12,927,440
5					
_	Three Most Effective Pro	<u>.                                      </u>	4000 400-	#40.00 <del>-</del> 05-	104 1-0 :::
1	Industrial Conservation	Incentive	1992-1997	\$10,267,958	131,156,416

	Utility Reported	Data for Top	Eneray E	Efficiency Pro	ograms for 1990-2000
--	------------------	--------------	----------	----------------	----------------------

Program Name	Type of Program	Dates Program Offered	Program Expenditures	Estimated Program Savings (kWh)
1 Togram I vame	Type of Frogram	Dates i Togram Onerea	Experialitates	Cavings (RVVII)
2 Commercial Conservation	Incentive	1986-1997	\$16,606,126	88,736,716
3				
Top Two Future Program	ns by Funding Level			
1 Residential/Commerical	Retrofit	1998	\$3,716,000	n/a
2 Sandariating Leanton	Retrofit	1998	\$500,000	n/a
Tacoma Power Top 6 Past Programs by  1 Conservation Project	Funding Level	2/94 to 12/97	\$22,071,389	2,138,206,900
Agreement (includes low income)	grant	2/94 (0 12/91	\$22,071,369	2,138,200,900
2 Fort Lewis Conservation Project	Performance based	9/92 to 12/98	\$10,001,985	247,630,720
3 Residential Conservation Agreement	Residential, New Constru	ction 3/92 to 9/96	\$5,101,877	not available
4 Energy Smart Design	Commercial	1992 to 2/94	\$9,200,000	600,000,000
5 Energy Savings Plan	Industrial	7/91 to 2/94	\$4,827,922	994,207,530
6 Weatherwise & other BPA weatherizations (Est., w/ Lov Inc.)		1/90 to 2/94	\$14,682,500	581,427,000
Three Most Effective Pr	ograms			
1 Energy Savings Plan	Industrial	7/91 to 2/94	\$4,827,922	994,207,530
2 Conservation Project Agreement	grant	2/94 to 12/97	\$22,071,389	2,138,206,900
3 Energy Smart Design	Commercial	1992 to 2/94	\$9,200,000	600,000,000
Top Two Future Program				
1 Conservation Incentives	Grants	1/97 to 12/98	\$1,588,505	N/A
2 Conservation Loans -	Grants	1/97 to 12/98	off budget	N/A

## **Washington Water Power**

### Top 6 Past Programs by Funding Level

Program		Operation	Budget	lifecycle kWh savings
Residential Energy	fuel switching	5/92 to 12/94	34,366,676	5,410,380,700
Exchanger C/I Site-Specific	incentives	1/91 to present	8,796,171	1,235,760,120
MAP	manufactured homes	x/9x to 2/98	6,276,822	349,350,000
Limited Income programs		1/90 to present	1,783,183	112,544,055
Residential New Construction		7/91 to 12/96	1,582,051	164,591,800
NEEA	market transformation	10/96 to present	629,353	not yet available

#### Top Two Future Programs by Funding Level

Top Two Future Program	s by Funding Level	1997 (annual kWh's / lifecycle kWh's)	1998 (annual kWh's / lifecycle kWh's)
C/I Site Specific	1,060,000	10,428,780 / 208,575,60	10,428,780 / 208,575,600
imited Income	590,000	2,027,940 / 50,698,500	2,027,940 / 50,698,500

#### **Utility Reported Data for Non-Hydro Renewable Power**

1990	1001	1002	1002	1994	1005	1996	1997	1000	1999	2000
1990	1771	1992	1993	1 1774	1995	1 1990	1 1997	1998	1 1777	2000

#### **PacifiCorp**

#### Non-Hydro Renewable Projects

Project Name	Project Type	MWh Produ	ced or Purcha	ased						Amount Budget	ed in Each Year	
Blundell	Geothermal		186,241	186,369	148,148	194,804	130,742	191,912	168,518	150,000	not budgete	not budgeted
Foote Creek	Wind								-	10,000	50,000	not budgeted

#### **Seattle City Light**

Non-Hydro Renewable Projects

Project Name Project Type MWh Produced or Purchased Amount Budgeted in Each Year  West Point Sewage co-generation Treatment Plant (methane gas) 9,852 7,306 8,706 8,459 7,948 9,168 8,829 8,296 10,513 10,512 10,542													
Treatment Plant	Project Name	Project Type	MWh Produce	ed or Purcha	sed						Amount Bud	geted in Each	n Year
( 3 3 3 3 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	0	co-generation	9,852	7,306	8,706	8,459	7,948	9,168	8,829	8,296	10,513	10,512	10,541

#### **SnoPUD**

#### Non-Hydro Renewable Projects

Project Name Project Type	MWh Produced or Purchase	d				Amount Bud	geted in Each	Year
Everett Cogeneration P Biomass/Steam			24,186	272,678	301,400	325,000		

#### **Washington Water Power**

Non-Hydro Renewable Projects \* Amounts in italics pro-rate utility-wide data to reflect the proportion available to Washington consumers, using a utility supplied ration)

Project Name	Project Type	MWh Produc	ed or Purcha	ased						Amount Budg	geted in Each	ı Year
Minnesota Methane	Landfill methane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,700	7,200	7,200
Wood Power, Inc.	cogen-wood	40,438	39,632	40,261	36,772	36,116	37,147	34,114	-	-	-	-
Rayonier	cogen-wood	-	-		-	-	-	-	15,319	5,801	-	-
Eduard Ribic	wind	-	-		-	-	3	1	-	1	1	1
Kettle Falls	wood	333,775	264,408	291,630	307,968	329,841	200,237	284,098	279,887	304,146	369,139	369,139
	WA total	249,974	203,099	221,703	230,286	244,459	158,575	212,566	197,198	208,849	251,395	251,395

NOTE: DOES NOT INCLUDE WIND RESOURCE ESTIMATIONS FOR 1998-2000 UNDER THE MOPS II PROGRAM

Q11-If your non-hydro renewable resource budgets and programs have changed since 1995 (as reported in Q6), please describe what factors or considerations have influenced these changes.

Methane gas project came on-line in May 98

Bought out the Wood Power, Inc. project in 1997

Rayonier facility project burned down 7/97. Assumed project would not come back on-line.

Note: Only utilities reporting activity are shown.

## Summary of Utility Reported Data for Low-Income Energy Services

			Nominal Dol	lars per Calenc	lar Year				Budgeted
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Benton PUD Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	53,958	79,129	313,115	136,869	52,162	97,867	114,908	53,270	90,000
Reimbursements from BPA	53,958	79,129	313,115	136,869	52,162	97,867	114,908	53,270	30,000
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,000
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	0	U	U	Ü	0	U	Ü	0	0
Chelan PUD Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	26,968	114,371	102,938	102,892	150,125	50,000	60,000	60,000	65,000
Reimbursements from BPA	26,968	114,371	102,938	102,892	100,125	,	,	,	,
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	0	50,000	50,000	60,000	60,000	65,000
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	0	0	0	0	21,178	70,942	80,280	89,191	90,000
Clark PUD Low Income Programs	none reported								
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures									
Reimbursements from BPA									
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	351,629	354,675	318,712	588,056	698,944	639,744	1,036,722	999,273	
Cowlitz Co. PUD Low Income Programs *	* (Specific data for expe	nditures were not	reported; amounts	reported as BP	A reimburseme	ents were assu	ımed as a prox	y)	
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	44,142	37,689	44,854	28,241	61,933	47,306	16,979	22,845	25,000
Reimbursements from BPA	44,142	37,689	44,854	28,241	61,933	47,306	16,979	22,845	25,000
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin Co. PUD Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	49,225	97,277	331,170	650,415	253,464	124,937	86,516	39,001	20,000
Reimbursements from BPA	38,522	86,583	246,324	310,854	100,677	54,119	40,334	20,381	-
Net Weatherization	10,703	10,694	84,846	339,560	152,787	70,817	46,182	18,620	20,000
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	none reported								
Grant Co. PUD Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	3,600	25,000	50,000	22,000	60,000	20,000	10,000	20,000	65,000
Reimbursements from BPA	0	25,000	50,000	22,000	60,000	20,000	10,000	20,000	45,000
Net Weatherization	3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,000
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0 11 1 5115 1 1 5									
Grays Harbor PUD - Low Income Programs		407.575	407	446 555	000 151	070 001	0.47 000	40= 5 15	<b>=</b>
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures*	235,628	404,310	437,960	412,629	363,484	273,681	217,909	137,949	50,000
Reimbursements from BPA	235,628	404,310	437,960	412,629	363,484	273,681	217,909	137,949	0
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,000

## Summary of Utility Reported Data for Low-Income Energy Services

			Nominal D	ollars per Calen	ndar Voar				Budgeted
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	not available	not available	not available	142,128	144,251	138,846	161,041	156,484	160,000
Orcas Power Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	Unknown	14,006	31,711	49,252	15,677	0	0	0	0
Reimbursements from BPA	Unknown	14,006	31,711	49,252	15,677	0	0	0	0
Net Weatherization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PacifiCorp - Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures				970,792	841,443	678,746	720,507	586,567	350,000
Reimbursements from BPA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	970,792	841,443	678,746	720,507	586,567	350,000
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	23,208	23,208	23,208	23,208	23,208	23,208	23,208	23,208	23,208
Parkland Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	16,817	20,342	65,705	104,100	2,426	1,000	0	2,783	
Reimbursements from BPA	16,817	20,342	65,705	104,100	2,426	1,000	0	2,783	
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Puget Energy Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	1,732,000	1,519,000	1,156,000	1,877,000	886,000	546,000	698,000	511,000	1,892,235
Reimbursements from BPA					•		•		
Net Weatherization	1,732,000	1,519,000	1,156,000	1,877,000	886,000	546,000	698,000	511,000	1,892,235
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seattle City Light Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures (co	3,115,347	3,321,306	3,330,139	3,088,972	3,614,652	3,726,790	1,786,295	2,137,019	2,144,574
Reimbursements from Bonneville (conservati	433,353	668,645	709,487	1,018,619	1,766,165	545,490	427,887	167,540	0
Net Weatherization	2,681,994	2,652,661	2,620,652	2,070,353	1,848,487	3,181,300	1,358,408	1,969,479	2,144,574
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	3,235,694	3,341,513	3,606,858	4,005,166	4,265,326	4,238,046	4,574,829	4,182,858	4,555,894
SnoPUD Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	266,038	269,431	275,696	265,252	267,867	267,943	274,384	349,943	450,000
Reimbursements from BPA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Weatherization	266,038	269,431	275,696	265,252	267,867	267,943	274,384	349,943	450,000
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	476,263	428,586	553,496	637,333	657,701	653,288	1,500,852	1,051,081	2,800,000
Tacoma Power Low Income Programs									
Low Income Weatherization Expenditures	976,202	866,318	1,060,204	2,083,392	630,720	2,047,778	364,915	591,145	900,000
Reimbursements from BPA	976,202	866,318	1,060,204	2,083,392	630,720	1,156,482	304,096	0	0
Net Weatherization	0	0	0	0	0	891,296	60,819	591,145	900,000
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	1,251,317	1,361,273	1,361,309	1,378,451	1,367,968	1,423,367	1,438,596	1,588,748	1,500,000
WWP Low Income Programs *	* (These amounts pr	o-rate utility-wide	data to reflect the p	proportion spent	in Washington	, using a utility	supplied ration	)	

## Summary of Utility Reported Data for Low-Income Energy Services

			Nominal Do	llars per Calend	dar Year				Budgeted
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Weatherization Actuals	449,417	363,939	425,426	670,291	666,449	80,528	149,386	28,704	65,536
BPA Funding	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Weatherization	449,417	363,939	425,426	670,291	666,449	80,528	149,386	28,704	65,536
Bill Discounts and Direct Assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0